# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER DOCKET NO.: 2004-0423-PST-E TCEQ ID: RN102369162 CASE NO.: 14107 RESPONDENT NAME: DEER PARK BUSINESS, INC. DBA FUEL EXPO

ORDER TYPE:									
_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING							
X FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER							
_AMENDED ORDER	ENDED ORDEREMERGENCY ORDER								
CASE TYPE:									
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)INDUSTRIAL AND HAZAI WASTE								
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	X_PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION							
WATER QUALITY	TER QUALITYSEWAGE SLUDGEUNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL								
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION							
TYPE OF OPERATION: Convenience stores  SMALL BUSINESS: _X_Yes		itional pending enforcement actions interest in this matter.  8. No comments were received.  9 , MC 149, (512) 239-0789 , (713) 767-3623							

## RESPONDENT NAME: DEER PARK BUSINESS, INC. DBA FUEL EXPO DOCKET NO.: 2004-0423-PST-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$7,000	Corrective Actions Taken:
Complaint X_ Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review  Date of Complaints Relating to this Case: None	Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited OrderFinancial Inability to PaySEP Conditional Offset  Total Due to General Revenue: \$7,000	The Executive Director recognizes that Deer Park implemented the following corrective actions.  1. Submitted on October 15, 2003, a UST Registration and Self Certification form for the Registration of the two USTs at the Facility
Dates of Investigation Relating to this Case: July 29, 2003	This is a Default Order. The Respondent has not actually paid any of the assessed penalty but will be required to do so under the terms of this	2. Received Delivery Certificate for the USTs to allow for the delivery of a regulated substance on October 24, 2003;
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: October 15, 2003  Background Facts:	Order.  Site Compliance History Classification X High Average Poor	3. Submitted a receipt on October 27, 2003, demonstrating installation of overfill protection equipment was installed on tank 2B, and
The EDPRP was filed on December 7, 2004 and mailed to the Respondent via certified mail, return	Person Compliance History Classification  X High Average Poor	documentation demonstrating that the super and unleaded fuel tanks (1A and 2A) contain ball floats for overfill prevention; and
receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class mail has not been returned, indicating the respondent received notice of the EDPRP.	Major Source: Yes _X_ No Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	4. Submitted documentation dated October 14, 2003, demonstrating that the tanks at the Facility are made of steel coated with composite fiberglass for corrosion protection.
The EDFARP was filed and sent to the Respondent at its last known address on November 21, 2005, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," the Respondent received notice of the EDFARP on or about December 2, 2005, as evidence by the signature on the card.		Ordering Provisions:  The Respondent's UST delivery certificate is revoked immediately upon the effective date of this Order. The Respondent may submit an application for a new delivery certificate only after the Respondent has complied with all of the requirements of this Order.  The Respondent shall undertake the following
The EDSARP was filed on May 4, 2006, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," the Respondent received notice of the EDSARP on or about May 15, 2006, as evidence by the signature on the card.		technical requirements:  1. Immediately, develop and begin maintaining the required UST records at the Facility.  2. Within 10 days, send its UST delivery certificate to TCEQ.
The EDTARP was filed on August 13, 2007, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," the Respondent received notice of the EDTARP on or about August 17, 2007, as evidence by the signature on the card.		<ul> <li>3. Within 15 days: <ul> <li>a. Submit a written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision No. 1.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. Within 60 days submit a written owner certification or installer certification certifying</li> </ul>
The Respondent failed to file an answer to the EDPRP, the EDFARP, the EDSARP, or the EDTARP.		that the UST system was installed in compliance with the Installation Standards for New UST Systems.

# RESPONDENT NAME: DEER PARK BUSINESS, INC. DBA FUEL EXPO DOCKET NO.: 2004-0423-PST-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
PST:		·
1. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current delivery certificate for the UST before accepting delivery of a regulated substance [Tex. Water Code § 26.3467(a) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i)].		
2. Failed to ensure that the UST registration and Self-Certification Form, which is fully and accurately completed, is submitted to the Agency, in a timely manner and failed to register the new or replacement UST within 30 days after the date a regulated substance is placed into the tank [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODES §§ 334.8(c)(3) and (4), and 337.7(a),(c) and (e)].	·	
3. Failed to file a written notification form with the TCEQ at least 30 days prior to installing a major UST construction activity [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.6(b)(2)(A)].		·
4. Failed to develop and maintain required UST records at the facility. Specifically, there were no records to demonstrate the type of overfill protection installed for the UST and Statistical Inventory Reconciliation (SIR) records [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10 (b).		
5. Failed to equip tank 2B (containing diesel fuel) with overfill protection equipment [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.51(b)(2)(C) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2)].		
		•
· .		

Page 1 of 12 0	03/12/08 H:\ENFORCE\JSallans\PST\Deer Park Business\2004-0423-PST	-E_qcp_Dee	r Park3.qpw
	Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW	n .	14 12
TCEQ Policy Revision 2 (09/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	PCW Revision 2/10/2004
	ZHISOTE OFFE DE NEED HEED TOTAL THE BEHELD HEED HEED HEED HEED HEED HEED HEED		
DATES	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF	***************************************	
9	1-Jul-2004   Screening 29-Mar-2004   Priority Due 28-May-2004	EPA Due	
RESPONDENT INFORMAT  Respondent	Deer Park Business, Inc., dba Fuel Expo		
	PST Facility ID No. 35149; RN102369162		
Facility/Site Region		inor Source	Minor <
CASE INFORMATION	10 miles		Line Control of the C
	CCEDS Enf. ID No. 14107		·
Docket No. Case Priority	I4	v. Violations	
Enf. Coordinator		n Enforceme	nt Team 6
	Petroleum Storage Tank		107
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit	Minimum \$0 Maximum \$10,000		***************************************
	Penalty Calculation Section	C	
TOTAL BASE PENALT	Y (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$7,000
	·		
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) T	O SUBTOTAL 1		
Subtotals 2-7 are obta	ined by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.	ſ	+
Compliance His	story -10% Reduction Subto	tals 2, 3 & 7	-\$700
	No enhancement is recommended because Respondent has not received a		
Notes	prior Notice of Violation (NOV). A reduction is recommended for high performance.		+
	performance.	_	
Culpability	0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Guipability	No Select Yes/No	Subtotal 4	ΨΟ
Notes	Terrory.	7	
Notes	Does not meet culpability criteria.		<b>.</b>
		ſ	
Good Faith Effo	ort to Comply 0% Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer		
Extraordinary			
Ordinary			1.
None of the above	X (mark with small x)	٦	+
Notes	Respondent is still not in complete compliance.		
		_	
Farmania Dana		2011	
Economic Bene	A	Subtotal 6	\$0
	\$157 Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount		=
<u> </u>	\$900 Approx. Cost of Compliance		
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	1-7 Fin:	al Subtotal	\$6,300
SOM OF SUBTOTALS	1-7	ii Subtotai [	φο,300 +
OTHER FACTORS AS .	JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 11% Enhancement	Adjustment	\$700
Reduces or enhances the Final Sub		-ujusimemi	Ψίου
Treaties of chilanees the fillian out		1	
Notes	Because this is a Default Order, the Executive Director recommends an upward adjustment to offset the reduction for compliance history.		=
		<u>.</u>	
	Final Penali	iy Amount [	\$7,000
OTATUTODY I MUT AD	HIOTHERIT	1	
STATUTORY LIMIT AD	JUSTMENT Final Assesse	ed Penalty	\$7,000
DECEDOAL	n c .	· · · · ·	<b>-</b>
DEFERRAL		Adjustment [	\$0
Reduces the Final Assessed Penalt		7	
Notes	No deferral is recommended because the settlement termination period has expired.		=

PAYABLE PENALTY

H:\ENFORCE\JSallans\PST\Deer Park Business\2004-0423-PST-E\_qcp\_Deer Park3.qpw Page 2 of 12 03/12/08 **PCW** Screening Date 29-Mar-2004 Docket Number 2004-0423-PST-E Policy Revision 2 (09/02) Respondent Deer Park Business, Inc., dba Fuel Expo PCW Revision 2/10/2004 Case ID No. CCEDS Enf. ID No. 14107 Respondent/Site ID No. PST Facility ID No. 35149; RN102369162 Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Elvia Maske 101 West San Augustine Street, Deer Park, Harris County Site Address Compliance History Worksheet Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Enter Number Here Component Number of... Adjust. Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria) NOVs 0% 0 Other written NOVs 0% Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability 0 (number of orders meeting criteria) 0% Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement Orders orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the 0 federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission 0% Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of 0 Judgments judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria) 0% and Consent Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or Decrees 0 non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government 0% Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number Convictions 0 of counts) 0% Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events) 0 **Emissions** 0% Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 0 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted) 0% Audits Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for 0 which violations were disclosed) 0% Please enter Yes or No Environmental management systems in place for one year or more No 0% Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive No director under a special assistance program 0% Other

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program No 0% Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or No federal government environmental requirements

> 0% Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2)

Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

Select Yes/No No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)

0%

Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7) >>

> Select High, Average or Poor High Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)

-10%

**Compliance History Summary** 

>>

Compliance History Notes

No enhancement is recommended because Respondent has not received a prior Notice of Violation (NOV). A reduction is recommended for high performance.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3 & 7)

-10%

Page :	3 of 12	03/12/08	H:\ENFORG	CE\JSallans\PS	T\Deer Par	k Business\2004-0423-	PST-E_qcp_Deer	Park3.qpw				
		_	29-Mar-04			2004-0423-PST-E		PCW				
			Deer Park Busin		uel Expo		-	ision 2 (09/02)				
m	Cas	e ID No.	CCEDS Enf. ID	No. 14107	00000100		PCW Revi	sion 2/10/2004				
Kespoi			PST Facility ID I									
,	_	-	Petroleum Stora									
		1	Elvia Maske									
	<sup>/</sup> iolation   rimary R	,		30 Tev Adı	min Code 8	§ 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i)	1					
	Secondary					§ 26.3467(a)						
			Coiled to peaks			*	ioni cortificato					
, 101	Violation Description Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current delivery certificate for the underground storage tank before accepting delivery of a regulated substance. At the time of the investigation, the respondent did not have a delivery certificate for the deliveries made on July 9, July 18, July 21 and July 23, 2003.											
						-	Base Penalty	\$10,000				
<b>»</b>	Environ	mental, i	Property and I	Human Healtl	h Matrix			•				
				Harm								
		Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	<del>.</del>		•				
OR		Actual				1						
		Potential			х	Percent	5%					
•								•				
<b>»</b>	Progran	nmatic M	latrix					•				
0.5	Falsifi	cation	Major	Moderate	Minor	11		•				
OR						Percent		· ·				
Matrix Notes			inants which may		els that are	ure of an insignificant e protective of human		•				
						Adjustment	-\$9,500	•				
							alty Subtotal	\$500				
	Violation	n Events				Dasc I chi	anty Subtotan	Ψ300				
			olation Events	. 4				- I was a second				
	1 (unit	JC1 01 11	Junton Events		11							
mark	only one; u	se small x	daily				•	•				
	,,		monthly			·		•				
			quarterly					•				
			semiannual			Violation Ba	ase Penalty	\$2,000				
			annual	,			barrenges	AND THE PARTY OF T				
•		. [	single event	х								
	Ir		1				ı					
Events Notes	Four si	ngle even		ided based on o		ion of the violations						
	Econom	ic Benef	ît (EB) for this	violation		Statutory Limit Test	t					
	Esti	imated E	B Amount (\$)	\$1		Violation Final P	enalty total	\$2,000				
			This Vio	lation Final .	Assessed	d Penalty (adjusted	d for limits)	\$2,000				

Page 4 of 12	03/12/08 H:\EN	IFORCE\JSallans\				E_qcp_Deer Park	3.gpw
		Economic I		rkshee	et		
Respondent	Deer Park Busines	ss, Inc., dba Fuel E	Expo				
1	CCEDS Enf. ID No					Percent	Years of
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage	e Tank				Interest	Depreciation
Violation Number	1 .					5.0	15
	ltem	Date	Final	Yrs	Interest	Onetime	EB
Item Description	Cost No commas or \$	Required	Date		Saved	Costs	Amount
Description	no commas or c						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	. \$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (As needed)	\$50	9-Jul-2003	24-Oct-2003	0.3	\$0	\$1	\$1
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	· \$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs			İ	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (As Needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	.\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs			•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Es	timated cost to pre	epare and submit	a compl	lete certification f	orm to the TCEQ.	
Avoided Costs	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	ANNUALIZE [1] av	oided costs be	fore ente	ering item (exce		
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equip				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	<u>\$0 </u>	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
						•	. !!
						•	
Approx Cost of Compliance	\$50		d vikenski na visa sina sina sina sina sina sina sina s		· .	TOTAL	\$1

Page	5 of 12 03/12/08	H:\ENFOR	CE\JSallans\PS	T\Deer Par	k Business\2004-0423-	PST-E_qcp_De	er Park3.qpw
	Screening Date	29-Mar-04	Docke	t Number	2004-0423-PST-E		PCW
٠	Respondent	Deer Park Busin	ess, inc., dba i	Fuel Expo	,	•	vision 2 (09/02)
	Case ID No.	CCEDS Enf. ID	No. 14107			PCW Rev	ision 2/10/2004/
Respo	ndent/Site ID No.	PST Facility ID I	No. 35149; RN	102369162			der en
	Media [Statute]	Petroleum Stora	ge Tank				1
	Enf. Coordinator	Elvia Maske					
	Violation Number	2 '					
P	rimary Rule Cite		30 Tex. Adm	in. Code §	334.8(c)(3) and (4)		
Ş	Secondary Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admi	n. Code § 3	34.7(a), (c) and (e)		
Viol	ation Description	The responde	nt failed to ensi	ire that a Li	ST registration and self	-certification	
		form, which is to timely manne Commission.	ully and accura er, to register the Failed to regist	itely comple ne undergro ter the new	eted, is submitted to the und storage tanks (UST or replacement UST wi	agency, in a  (rs) with the  thin 30 days	
					is placed into the tank. ated substance is July 9		
	Į	document		o or a regar	accu substance is only c	5, 2000.	AND CONTRACTOR OF A PERSON AND
						Base Penalty	\$10,000
<b>»</b>	Environmental,	Property and I	luman Healt	h Matrix			•
			Harm				•
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	a		
OR	Actual	•					•
	Potential				Percent	as promoned	
<b>»</b>	Programmatic M	1atrix					
	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor			•
OR		x			· Percent	10%	•
Matrix Notes	The Re	spondent failed to	meet 100% o	f the rule re	quirement.		•
					Adjustment	-\$9,000	•
						alty Subtotal	\$1,000
	Violation Events				Dase I ena	alty Subtotai	\$1,000
	Number of Vie	i i	1	7			•
	Muniber of Vit	DIALIUM EVEIRS		1			• • • • • •
an a ale		daily		1			•
шагк	only one; use small x	- I					,
		monthly					•
		quarterly			Violetian De	Donaltis	#4 000
		semiannual			Violation Ba	ise Penaity	\$1,000
		annual					
	i	single event	X	]			
Events Notes	One single event i		based on docu 9, 2003 investi		of the violation during		
	Economic Benef	it (EB) for this	violation		Statutory Limit Test	i	
	Estimated E	B Amount (\$)	\$0		Violation Final P	enalty total	\$1,000
		This Vio	lation Final .	Assesse	d Penalty (adjusted	d for limits)	\$1,000

Page 6 of 12 0	03/12/08 H:\EN	NFORCE\JSalians				qcp_Deer Park	3.qpw
		Economic		orkshee	et	•	
=	Deer Park Busines		Expo		Г		
	CCEDS Enf. ID No				**	Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Media [Statute]		e lank			·	ı	-
Violation Number	2	5.	F	<b>V</b>	L	5.0	15
Item Description	Item Cost No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs	•						
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (As needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
· Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	-			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (As Needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs		Eco	nomic benefit i	s calcluated	l in violation no. 1		
Avoided Costs	j	ANNUALIZE [1] a	voided costs l	efore ente	ring item (excep	t for one-time a	voided costs)
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equip				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total of It of BBB costs							
Approx Cost of Compliance	\$0				Т	OTAL [	\$0

Page	7 of 12 03/12/08	~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~	***************************************		k Business\2004-0423-	PST-E qcp De	
	Screening Date				2004-0423-PST-E	Policy Re	PCW vision 2 (09/02)
		Deer Park Busin CCEDS Enf. ID		-uei Expo		-	ision 2/10/2004
Respo	ondent/Site ID No.		•	02369162			
	Media [Statute]						
	Enf. Coordinator	Elvia Maske	-				1
	Violation Number	3					
	Primary Rule Cite		30 Tex. Ac	lmin. Code	§ 334.6(b)(2)(A)		
	Secondary Cite(s) lation Description						
V 10	ration Description	Failure to file a			th the TCEQ at least 30	days prior to	
			initiating a ma	jor UST co	nstruction activity.		
						D D14	<b></b>
»	Environmental,	Property and I	Human Healtl	h Matrix	J	Base Penalty	\$10,000
"	Environmental,	roperty and i	Harm	IIIIIIII			
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor			•
OR	Actual						• .
	Potential				Percent		•
							•
**	Programmatic M						•
OR	Falsification	Major <b>x</b>	Moderate	Minor	Percent	10%	•
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Tercent	IU /0 :	•
Matrix Notes	Fa	iled to comply wi	th 100% of the i	rule require	ment.		
					Adjustment	-\$9,000 -	•
			·			ılty Subtotal	\$1,000
•	Violation Events				Ditto I on	ary bubloun	
	Number of Vi	olation Events	1				
	1			7			••
mari	k only one; use small x	daily					•
		monthly					•
		quarterly semiannual			Violation Ba	so Ponalty	\$1,000
		annual			violation Ba	oc r charty	Ψ1,000
		single event	x				
Events	One single event i				of the violation during		
Notes		the July 2	29, 2003 investiç	gation.		•	
			M - 1			•	
`	Economic Benef	fit (EB) for this	violation		Statutory Limit Test		
	Estimated E	B Amount (\$)	\$141	•	Violation Final Pe	enalty total	\$1,000
		This Vio	lation Final )	Assesse:	d Penalty (adjusted	for limits)	\$1,000

.

Page 8 of 12 0	3/12/08 H:\EN	FORCE\JSallans	\PST\Deer Park E	Business\	2004-0423-PST-	E_qcp_Deer Park	:3.qpw
1-1		Economic	Benefit Wo	rkshee	et		
Respondent	Deer Park Busines	s, Inc., dba Fuel	Expo		_		7.0
ID Number(s)	CCEDS Enf. ID No	. 14107				Percent	Years of
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage	Tank				Interest	Depreciation
Violation Number	3					5.0	15
	Item	Date	Final	Yrs	Interest	Onetime	EB
Item	Cost	Required	Date		Saved	Costs	Amount
Description	No commas or \$						
		•					
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	. \$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (As needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	_			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Avoided Costs	ΑΑ	NNUALIZE [1] a	voided costs be	fore ente	ring item (exce	pt for one-time a	voided costs)
Disposal				0.0	\$0	. \$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	\$100	29-Mar-2003	1-Aug-2004	1.3	\$7	\$135	\$141
Supplies/equip		,		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	. \$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)			-	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Estimated cost of installation of two prior to installation	USTs and assoc	iated piping which	n were ins n that the	stalled on April 2 tanks were prop		e from 30 days
Approx Cost of Compliance	\$100		·			TOTAL [	\$141

Page	9 of 12 03/12/08	H:\ENFORG	CE\JSallans\PS	T\Deer Pa	rk Business\2004-0423-	PST-E gcp De	er Park3.qpw
	Screening Date				· 2004-0423-PST-E	- And Salver Sal	PCW
	Respondent	Deer Park Busin	iess, Inc., dba f	uel Expo		Policy R	evision 2 (09/02)
	Case ID No.	CCEDS Enf. ID	No. 14107	·		PCW Re	vision 2/10/2004
Respoi	ndent/Site ID No.	PST Facility ID I	No. 35149; RN1	02369162			
_	Media [Statute]						
]	Enf. Coordinator				*		
	iolation Number	4					
P	rimary Rule Cite		30 Tex.	Admin. Co	de § 334.10(b)		
S	Secondary Cite(s)					•	
Viol	ation Description	F-!! 4-			in a MOTime and a state	£:114.	
					uired UST records at the uly 29, 2003 there were		opportune:
		demonstrate th	e type of overfi	Il and corre	osion protection installe	d for the UST	·
		and	l Statistical Inve	entory Rec	onciliation (SIR) records	i.	
	3. 1	L.				Dana Dawaltu	040.000
	Environmental	Dranarty and l	-luman Haalf	h Matrix		Base Penalty	\$10,000
<b>»</b>	Environmental,	Property and r		II Watix			•
	n.i		Harm				•
0.5	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	7		•
OR	Actual				D4		· Charter
	Potential			<u>,                                     </u>	Percent		•
	Drogrammatic N	latrice					•
<b>»</b>	Programmatic M		N. de . de . este				•
OR	Falsification	Major	Moderate x	Minor I	Percent	F0/	•
OA			^		Percent	5%	•
Matrix Notes	; Fa	ilure to comply w	rith 70% of the r	ule require	ement.		•
	,			<del></del>			
	<u></u>				Adjustment	-\$9,500	
	* * * * * *				Base Pen	alty Subtotal	\$500
	Violation Events						
	Number of Vic	olation Events	1				
		б		ī ·			•
mark	only one; use small x	daily		-			•
	٠.	monthly					•
		quarterly				. г	•
		semiannual			Violation Ba	ase Penalty	\$500
•		annual			•		
		single event	· .x				
				<del> </del>			
Events	One single event is	s recommended l		investigat	ion conducted on July		
Notes			29, 2003.		-		
	Economic Benef	it (EB) for this	violation		Statutory Limit Tes	t	
	Estimated E	B Amount (\$)	\$5		Violation Final P	enalty total	\$500
		This Vio	lation Final .	Assesse	d Penalty (adjuste	d for limits)	\$500

iski Kuj Parij

Page 10 of 12	03/12/08 H:\Ei	VFORCE\JSallan	s\PST\Deer Park	Busines	s\2004-0423-PST	-E_qcp_Deer Par	k3.qpw	
		Economic	Benefit Wo	rkshe	et			
	Deer Park Busines		Expo		,			
ID Number(s)	CCEDS Enf. ID No	CCEDS Enf. ID No. 14107 Percent Year						
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage	e Tank				Interest	Depreciation	
Violation Number	4				į	5.0	15	
	ltem	Date	Final	Yrs	Interest	Onetime	EB	
Item Description	Cost No commas or \$	Required	Date		Saved	Costs	Amount	
Description	110 00/11/100 0/ 0							
Delayed Costs			•					
Equipment				0.0	. \$0	\$0	\$0	
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Other (As needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Remediation/Disposal	·			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Permit Costs	·			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Other (As Needed)	\$1,00	29-Jul-2003	1-Aug-2004	1.0	\$5	n/a	\$5	
Notes for DELAYED costs					<del></del>			
	E	Estimated cost to	maintain UST ove	erfill, corr	osion protection	and SIR records.		
A :1 10 1								
Avoided Costs Disposal	<i>,</i>	ANNUALIZE [1] a	voided costs be			pt for one-time a		
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Supplies/equip				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	
Notes for AVOIDED costs	<u> </u>			0.01	Φ0	Φ0]	201	
,							,	
. ,								
Ē	<u> </u>			i		·····		
Approx Cost of Compliance	\$100				•	TOTAL	\$5	
-						-		

Page	11 of 12 03/12/0	8 H:\ENFORCE\JSallans\PST	\Deer Park Business\2004-0423-P	ST-E gcp Deer	Park3.gpw
	Screening Date	**************************************	Number 2004-0423-PST-E		PCW
•	Respondent	Deer Park Business, Inc., dba Fu	iel Expo		sion 2 (09/02)
	Case ID No.	CCEDS Enf. ID No. 14107		PCW Revisi	on 2/10/2004
Respo	ndent/Site ID No.	PST Facility ID No. 35149; RN10	2369162		
	Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank			
	Enf. Coordinator	Elvia Maske			
	Violation Number	1		·	
P	rimary Rule Cite	30 Tex. Adm	in. Code § 334.51(b)(2)(C)		•
5	Secondary Cite(s)	Tex. Wate	er Code § 26.3475(c)(2)		
Viol	ation Description	Failure to equip tank 2B (cor	ntaining diesel fuel) with overfill pre equipment.	vention	
	!	<u>.</u>	Rs	ase Penalty	\$10,000
»	Environmental	Property and Human Health		ise I charty	. 410,000
,	Environmoniai,	Harm	Wall		
	Release	Major Moderate	Minor		adr ar canada
OR	Actual	Wajer	Willion .		•
071	Potential	x	Percent	25%	
	1 Otoman	<u> </u>			
»	Programmatic M	/latrix			
	Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
OR			Percent		
				THE CONTRACTOR AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE CONTRACTOR AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE CON	•
Matrix Notes	or the environmer	overfill equipment for the UST sys nt to be exposed to pollutants whic an health or environmental recept	h would exceed leveis that are		
			A 11:	<b>AT 700</b>	
		•	Adjustment	-\$7,500 · ·	
	Violetian Events		Base Penalt	y Subtotai	\$2,500
	Violation Events		•		•
	Number of vie	olation Events 1.			
mark	only one; use small x	daily			
	·	monthly	•		
		quarterly ×			•
		semiannual	Violation Bas	e Penalty	\$2,500
		annual			
		single event			,
		N			1
Events Notes	date the Responde	ent is recommended from the July ent installed overfill protection (Oct p record review conducted on Apri commensurate with the situ	tober 24, 2003) as documented II 7, 2004 to make the penalty		1171
	Economic Benef	fit (EB) for this violation	Statutory Limit Test		
	Estimated E	B Amount (\$) \$10	Violation Final Per	nalty total	\$2,500
		This Violation Final A	ssessed Penalty (adjusted t	for limits)	\$2,500

Page 12 of 12	03/12/08 H:\EN	NFORCE\JSallans\				E qcp Deer Parl	k3.qpw
		Economic E	Benefit Wor	rkshee	et		
Respondent Deer Park Business, Inc., dba Fuel Expo							
ID Number(s)	CCEDS Enf. ID No	o. 14107				Percent	Years of
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage	Tank				Interest	Depreciation
Violation Number	5 .	•			L	5.0	15
	Item	Date	Final	Yrs	Interest	Onetime	EB
Item	Cost No commas or \$	Required	Date		Saved	Costs	Amount
Description	NO COMMAS OF 5	it .					
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	\$500	29-Jul-2003	24-Oct-2003	0.2	\$0	\$8	co
Buildings	Ψ000	20 001 2000	210012000	0.2	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$8 \$0
Other (As needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	φυ \$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (As Needed)	\$150	29-Jul-2003	24-Oct-2003	0.2	\$2	n/a	\$2
Notes for DELAYED costs	<u> </u>			0.21	ΨΖ]	11/4	ΨΖ
	Estimated cost to install overfill drop tube, labor and associated costs.						
Avoided Costs Disposal	A	NNUALIZE [1] av	oided costs bet		······································		
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equip				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
110000 101 111 01020 0000							
			A				
Approx Cost of Compliance	\$650				Т	TOTAL	\$10
L						<u></u>	···



Rating: 0.00 Site Rating: 0.00

Custon	ner/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN601684020	Deer Park Business, Inc.		Classification: HIGH	Rating: 0.00
Regula	ted Entity:	RN102369162	FUEL EXPO		Classification: HIGH	Site Rating: (
ID Num	nber(s):		TORAGE TANK	REGISTE	RATION	35149
Locatio	n:	REGISTRATION 101 W SAN AUC	SUSTINE ST, DEER PARK,	TX, 77536	Rating Date: 9/1/2005	Repeat Violator: NO
TCEQ	Region:	REGION 12 - HO	DUSTON			
Date C	ompliance History Prepared:	February 03, 200	06			
Agency	/ Decision Requiring Compliance History	ory: Enforcement				
Compli	ance Period:	January 01, 200	0 to December 30, 2005			
TCEQ Name:	Staff Member to Contact for Additional N/A		ing this Compliance History one: N/A		·	
		Site Co	ompliance History Com	ponents		
1. Has	the site been in existence and/or oper	ation for the full five	year compliance period?	Yes		•
	there been a (known) change in owne	rship of the site durir	ng the compliance period?	Yes		•
	s, who is the current owner?			Deer Par	k Business, Inc.	
4. if Ye	es, who was/were the prior owner(s)?			DIAMONE	SHAMROCK REFINING	
5. Whe	en did the change(s) in ownership occi	ur?	A	05/27/200	03	
Comp	onents (Multimedia) for the Site	·:				
A.	Final Enforcement Orders, court ju-	dgements, and cons	ent decrees of the state of T	exas and the	federal government.	
	N/A	·				•
В.	Any criminal convictions of the stat	e of Texas and the fo	ederal government.			
	N/A			•		
C.	Chronic excessive emissions even	ts.				
	N/A					
D.	The approval dates of investigation	s. (CCEDS Inv. Trac	ck. No.)			
	1 05/29/2003 (61472)					
	2 04/19/2004 (268687) 3 10/15/2003 (149661)				•	
_		(OOFDO Inc. Totals	Ma V		•	
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV)	. (CCEDS INV. Track	. NO.)			
	N/A	•	•			
F.	Environmental audits. N/A					
G.	Type of environmental management	nt systems (EMSs).	•		• •	
	N/A					
Н.	Voluntary on-site compliance asset	ssment dates.				•
	N/A					
1.	Participation in a voluntary pollution	n reduction program.				
	N/A					
J.	Early compliance.					
	N/A					
Sites C	Outside of Texas					

N/A

### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
DEER PARK BUSINESS, INC. DBA	. <b>§</b>	
FUEL EXPO,	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
RN102369162	§	

#### DEFAULT ORDER DOCKET NO. 2004-0423-PST-E

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality,
("Commission" or "TCEQ")	considered the Executive Director's Third Amended Report and Petition
filed pursuant to TEX. WAT	ER CODE chs. 7 and 26, 30 Tex. Admin. Code chs. 70 and 334, and the
rules of the TCEQ, which r	equests appropriate relief, including the revocation of the respondent's
underground storage tank	delivery certificate, the imposition of an administrative penalty and
corrective action of the resp	pondent. The respondent made the subject of this Order is Deer Park
Business, Inc. dba Fuel Exp	oo ("Deer Park").

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Deer Park owns and operates a convenience store with an underground storage tank system located at 101 West San Augustine Street, Deer Park, Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. Deer Park's underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission. Deer Park's USTs contain a regulated substance as defined in the rules of the Commission.
- 3. During an inspection on July 29, 2003, a Houston Regional Office investigator documented that Deer Park violated:
  - a. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current delivery certificate for the UST before accepting delivery of a regulated substance. Deer Park did not have a delivery certificate for the deliveries made on July 9, July 18, July 21, and July 23, 2003;

- b. Failed to ensure that the UST registration and self-certification form, which is fully and accurately completed, is submitted to the Agency, in a timely manner, to register the UST with the Commission and failed to register the new or replacement UST within 30 days after the date a regulated substance is placed into the tank. Specifically, the UST was not registered prior to the delivery accepted on July 9, 2003;
- c. Failed to file a written notification form with the TCEQ at least 30 days prior to initiating a major UST construction activity;
- d. Failed to develop and maintain required UST records at the Facility. Specifically, during the investigation on July 29, 2003, there were no records to demonstrate the type of overfill and corrosion protection installed for the UST and Statistical Inventory Reconciliation (SIR) records; and
- e. Failed to equip tank 2B (containing diesel fuel) with overfill prevention equipment.
- 4. Deer Park received notice of the violations on or about October 20, 2003.
- 5. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo" (the "EDPRP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on December 7, 2004.
- 6. By letter dated December 7, 2004, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Deer Park with notice of the EDPRP. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class mail has not been returned, indicating that Deer Park received notice of the EDPRP.
- 7. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's First Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo" (the "EDFARP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on November 21, 2005.
- 8. By letter dated November 21, 2005 sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Deer Park with notice of the

- EDFARP. According to the return receipt "green card," Deer Park received notice of the EDFARP on or about December 2, 2005, as evidenced by the signature on the card.
- 9. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Second Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo" (the "EDSARP") (titled "EDFARP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on May 4, 2006.
- 10. By letter dated May 4, 2006, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Deer Park with notice of the EDSARP. According to the return receipt "green card," Deer Park received notice of the EDSARP on or about May 15, 2006, as evidenced by the signature on the card.
- 11. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Third Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo" (the "EDTARP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on August 13, 2007.
- 12. By letter dated August 13, 2007, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Deer Park with notice of the EDTARP. According to the return receipt "green card," Deer Park received notice of the EDTARP on or about August 17, 2007, as evidenced by the signature on the card.
- 13. More than 20 days have elapsed since Deer Park received notice of the EDPRP, EDFARP, the EDSARP, and the EDTARP provided by the Executive Director. Deer Park failed to file an answer to the EDPRP, the EDFARP, the EDSARP, or the EDTARP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference.
- 14. In response to this enforcement action, the Executive Director recognizes that Deer Park implemented the following corrective actions:
  - a. Submitted on October 15, 2003, a UST Registration and Self Certification form for the Registration of the two USTs at the Facility
  - b. Received Delivery Certificate for the USTs to allow for the delivery of a regulated substance on October 24, 2003;
  - c. Submitted a receipt on October 27, 2003, demonstrating installation of overfill

protection equipment was installed on tank 2B, and documentation demonstrating that the super and unleaded fuel tanks (1A and 2A) contain ball floats for overfill prevention; and

d. Submitted documentation dated October 14, 2003, demonstrating that the tanks at the Facility are made of steel coated with composite fiberglass for corrosion protection.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 1 and 2, Deer Park is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.a., Deer Park failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current delivery certificate for the UST before accepting delivery of a regulated substance. Deer Park did not have a delivery certificate for the deliveries made on July 9, July 18, July 21, and July 23, 2003, in violation of Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a) and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i).
- 3. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.b., Deer Park failed to ensure that the UST registration and self-certification form, which is fully and accurately completed, is submitted to the Agency, in a timely manner, to register the UST with the Commission, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 334.8(c)(3) and (4), and Deer Park failed to register the new or replacement UST within 30 days after the date a regulated substance is placed into the tank. Specifically, the UST was not registered prior to the delivery accepted on July 9, 2003, in violation of 30, Tex. ADMIN. Code § 334.7(a), (c) and (e).
- 5. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.c., Deer Park failed to file a written notification form with the TCEQ at least 30 days prior to initiating a major UST construction activity, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.6(b)(2)(A).
- 6. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.d., Deer Park failed to develop and maintain required UST records at the Facility. Specifically, during the investigation on July 29, 2003, there were no records to demonstrate the type of overfill and corrosion protection installed for the UST and Statistical Inventory Reconciliation (SIR) records, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b).
- 7. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.e., Deer Park failed to equip tank 2B (containing diesel fuel) with overfill prevention equipment, in violation of Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(2) and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.51(b)(2)(C).

- 8. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 5 and 6, the Executive Director has timely served Deer Park with proper notice of the EDPRP, as required by Tex. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.104(c)(2).
- 9. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 7 and 8, the Executive Director has timely served Deer Park with proper notice of the EDFARP, as required by Tex. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.104(c)(2).
- 10. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 9 and 10, the Executive Director has timely served Deer Park with proper notice of the EDSARP (titled "EDFARP"), as required by Tex. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.104(a).
- 11. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 11 and 12, the Executive Director has timely served Deer Park with proper notice of the EDTARP, as required by Tex. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.104(a).
- 12. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 13, Deer Park has failed to file a timely answer to the EDPRP, the EDFARP, the EDSARP, or the EDTARP as required by Tex. WATER CODE § 7.056 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.105. Pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE § 7.057 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106, the Commission may enter a Default Order against Deer Park and assess the penalty recommended by the Executive Director.
- 13. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against Deer Park for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 14. An administrative penalty in the amount of seven thousand dollars (\$7,000.00) is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. WATER CODE § 7.053.
- 15. Tex. Water Code §§ 5.102 and 7.002 authorize the Commission to issue orders and make determinations necessary to effectuate the purposes of the statutes within its jurisdiction.
- 16. Pursuant to 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(6), the Commission has authority to revoke Deer Park's UST delivery certificate if the Commission finds that good cause exists.

Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo DOCKET NO. 2004-0423-PST-E Page 6

17. Good cause for revocation of Deer Park 's UST delivery certificate exists as justified by Findings of Fact Nos. 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 and Conclusions of Law Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12.

#### ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. Deer Park is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of seven thousand dollars (\$7,000.00) for violations of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26 and rules of the TCEQ. The payment of this administrative penalty and Deer Park's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order completely resolve the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations which are not raised here. All checks submitted to pay the penalty imposed by this Order shall be made out to the "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality." The administrative penalty assessed by this Order shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo; Docket No. 2004-0423-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. Deer Park 's UST delivery certificate is revoked immediately upon the effective date of this Order. Deer Park may submit an application for a new delivery certificate only after Deer Park has complied with all of the requirements of this Order.
- 3. Within 10 days after the effective date of this Order, Deer Park shall send its UST delivery certificate to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P. O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- 4. Deer Park shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Order, Deer Park shall develop and begin maintaining required UST records at the Facility. Specifically, Deer Park shall begin compiling and maintaining SIR records, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b).
  - b. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Order, Deer Park shall submit a written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision No. 4.a.
  - c. Within 60 days after the effective date of the Commission Order, Deer Park shall submit a written owner certification or installer certification certifying that the UST system was installed in compliance with the Installation Standards for New UST Systems, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(a)(1).
  - d. Deer Park shall submit all correspondence, reports, and documentation required by these Ordering Provisions.

The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

and

Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo DOCKET NO. 2004-0423-PST-E Page 8

> Nicole Bealle, Waste Section Manager Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Houston Regional Office 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 5. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 6. The provisions of this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Deer Park. Deer Park is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Order.
- 7. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Deer Park shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Deer Park receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 8. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Deer Park if the Executive Director determines that Deer Park has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 9. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 10. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Order shall be the date the Order is final, as provided by 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106(d) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.144.

Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo DOCKET NO. 2004-0423-PST-E Page 9

### SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

#### AFFIDAVIT OF JIM SALLANS

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF TAVIS

"My name is Jim Sallans. I am of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and the facts stated in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

The Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo" (the "EDPRP") with the Office of the Chief Clerk on December 7, 2004.

The EDPRP was sent to Deer Park at its last known address on December 7, 2004 via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class mail has not been returned, indicating the respondent received notice of the EDPRP, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.104(c)(2).

The Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality filed the "Executive Director's First Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo" (the "EDFARP") with the Office of the Chief Clerk on November 21, 2005.

The EDFARP was sent to Deer Park at its last known address on November 21, 2005, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," Deer Park received notice of the EDFARP on or about December 2, 2005, as evidenced by the signature on the card.

The Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality filed the "Executive Director's Second Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo" (the "EDSARP") (titled "EDFARP") with the Office of the Chief Clerk on May 4, 2006.

The EDSARP was sent to Deer Park at its last known address on May 4, 2006, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return

receipt "green card," Deer Park received notice of the EDSARP on or about May 15, 2006, as evidenced by the signature on the card.

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, I filed the "Executive Director's Third Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Deer Park Business, Inc. dba Fuel Expo" (the "EDTARP") with the Office of the Chief Clerk on August 13, 2007.

I sent the EDTARP to Deer Park at its last known address on August 13, 2007 via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," Deer Park received notice of the EDTARP on or about August 17, 2007, as evidenced by the signature on the card.

More than 20 days have elapsed since Deer Park received notice of the EDPRP, the EDFARP, the EDSARP, and the EDTARP. Deer Park failed to file an answer to the EDPRP, the EDFARP, the EDSARP, or the EDTARP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference."

Jim Sallans

Attorney

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Jim Sallans, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration herein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this day of

ag of thouse A.D., 200

Jacqueline Frances Duckworth
Notary Public
State of Texas
Ommission Expires
April 25, 2011